

UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

ML

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/001,884	12/31/97	LIU			s	RIC	-97-036
-		LMC	1/1221	\neg	EXAMINER		
TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT				NEGASH, K			
MCI COMMUNI(CATIONS COR	PORATION			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
1133 19TH S WASHINGTON I					2733	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	//
					DATE MAILE	D :	
					12/21/99		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

ed and the second secon	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/001,884	LIU ET AL.					
- Naviony Notion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	NEGASH, KINFE-MICHAEL	2733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 December 1999</u> FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either a for for allowance or a Notice of Appeal. Alternatively, application (CPA) under 37 CF	void abandonment of this applicationally filed amendment which placant may obtain further examinate	ation. A proper re aces the applicati	eply to a ion in condition				
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check only a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of ln view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR convincement is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period mailing date of the final rejection.	o months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07 (ontinues to run from the mailing date of the	final rejection,					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 (a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked.	n and the corresponding amount of the fee	. The appropriate exte	nsion fee under 37				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFF) 							
 The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon with requisite fees. 	the timely submission of a Notic	ce of Appeal and	Appeal Brief				
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);				
(b) $\hfill\Box$ they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note	below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected cla	iims.				
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely file	ed amendment				
6. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which v	vere newly				
$8. \boxtimes$ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s)	is as follows (see attached writte	n explanation, if	any):				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .	•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•						
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a	ı)∏has b)∏ has not been appı	roved by the Exar	miner.				
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	. / 8/	100 11 -				
11. Other:	KINFE-I PRIM	->MWAAC //} MICHAEL NEGASH ABY EXAMINER					
5. Patent and Trademark Office	(2,3)30	15-4731					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTO-303 (Rev. 03-98)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No.





Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's request for reconsideration is not found to be pursuasive.